

### **SYSTEM OPERATION**

### Key results, migrations & validation

October17, 2017



**RTE R&D ENGINEER** 



FRANCE



#### Outline













**Barriers & drivers** 

Reliability management criteria declinations RMAC comparisons using the GQP: Key results Challenges on tools and algorithms

Bridging the gap in terms of data and models Migrations & validation







#### **Barriers and drivers**

Underlying difficulties inherent to the statistical approach:

- Modelling complexity
- High Data requirements
- Tools and computational requirements, tractability
- Operator decision-making and training
- Tso coordination (sharing and risk mitigation)

### Drivers:

- Increasing variability: RES
- System accelerated evolution: smart solutions
- More and more information available







# Reliability management criteria declinations







#### **RMAC declinations**



EEGI

#### **RMAC declinations**

Garpur RMAC follows the same principles, only the scale of the approach is changing

Migration toward a better RMAC is necessarily a step by step process considering:

- Reliability/Economic gains
- Performances/tractability
- R&D, Tools and data progress
- Needs for harmonization between the TSOs









# RMAC comparisons using the GQP: Key results





#### **RMAC comparisons: Keys results**

Rmac comparisons using the GARPUR Quantification Platform prototype

- Confirmation that statistical RMACs could be more efficient than classical N-1 (more economic for a same control on the residual Real-time risk)
  - Introduction of N-k (k>1) contingencies in the preventive problems should be economically weighted and justified
  - High preventive costs could be justified in case of difficult operational conditions

#### Current GQP and data limitations

- The GQP is a research grade prototype, with some current limitations:
  - Performances and tractability/result interpretation and validation
  - Limited RMAC implementation
- Regarding data: RMAC high sensitivity to the blackout cost, failure rates and failure of corrective actions was observed: a better confidence in the estimation of those three parameters will ease the acceptance of the statistical RMACs by TSOs





![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Challenges on tools and algorithms

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Challenges on tools and algorithms**

Two essential parts of a same problematic

- Assessment problem:
  - Necessitates upgrading of the TSOs assessment tools and algorithms
  - Evaluates RMACs performance and behavior
  - Propels data completeness, exchange and harmonization
- Control problem:
  - Necessitates further R&D works, it can be decomposed as such:
    - Step 1) helping the operator to reach a decision
    - Step 2) proposing and implementing control actions

![](_page_10_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### **Challenges on tools and algorithms**

### Algorithmic challenges

- Fast & reliable algorithms vs large-scale computational problem
  - Control far more complex and demanding than Assessment
  - System stress and time horizon are to be taken into account
- Modeling the evolving behavior of the power system
  - Dynamic trajectories
- Taking into account the potential actions of the transmission operator
- Taking into account the electricity market
- Taking into account multi-TSO interactions

![](_page_11_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

Bridging the gap in terms of data and models

### Data challenges

- Component failure rates probabilities
- Corrective control failure modes & probabilities
- Power generation & demand uncertainty
- Estimation of the Energy Not supplied
- Value of lost load & socio-economic cost of service interruptions
- Sharing & harmonization

![](_page_13_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Migration and validation**

### Migration strategy: step by step approach

Progressive adoption of probabilistic reliability management approach by TSOs

- Phase 1: observation based on existing tool adaptations
  - Parallel assessment of the residual risk and its variations
- Phase 2: decision making based on probabilistic tools
- Phase 3: decision making supported by reliability control tools

### Considering in parallel

Continuous improvement of data, models and algorithmic performances

R&D works on the development of algorithms for probabilistic reliability control

#### Validation and proof of gain

- Additional works, experiments sharing
- TSO and Academic collaboration

![](_page_15_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_15.jpeg)

#### **Migration and validation**

Migration strategy (Tentative timeline)

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

http://www.garpur-project.eu/

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)