The Nordic Association of Architectural Research / Nordisk Arkitekturforskning

Information for **reviewers** of **scientific articles** submitted for publication in proceedings publications by The Nordic Association of Architectural Research, NAAR.

The editors would appreciate the referees' assessment with respect to the quality of ideas, the substance and the writing of the article, and its suitability for publication.

NAAR do not allow peer reviewers to use Generative AI tools when reviewing manuscripts. Nor can Generative AI tools be devoted for analysis or to summaries on submitted articles/essays. Peer Reviewers may only use Generative AI tools for language improvement of their review report.

Referee's Name	
Manuscript Title:	
Please return your comments by:	

Please give your recommendation by ticking one of the following categories:

OVERALL QUALITY	Indicate appropriate category
Acceptance	
Acceptance subject to minor revisions (please provide guidance and comments which can be sent to the author)	
Major revisions required for acceptance (Only if the paper has real promise, and if you can give the clear guidance that can be sent to the author to enable them to achieve the desired result)	
Rejection (Do please offer some comments, which can be passed on to the author, not just a bare rejection.)	

Report

If the referees evaluate that the article needs changes and improvements, we welcome comments and recommendations to that effect. Please write comments for the author(s) below.

Content and relevance:
-Is the focus of the contribution in line with the academic focus of the proceedings publication (see Call for Papers)? Has the article a newsworthy topic?

-The article shall: have a clear structure with an ***introduction*** which state the objectives of the work and provide an adequate background; have a clear ***research question***; provide a sufficient description on ***methods and the selection process***; have a ***theoretical frame work.*** ***Results*** and ***analysis*** should be clear and concise. ***Discussion*** should explore the significance of the results of the work and connect empirical results to the theoretical frame work. The main ***conclusion*** can be presented in a short last section. All papers shall start with an ***abstract*** + keywords in English.

-What recommendations and changes are needed for the submission's improvement?

Please insert your comments here:

Structure:
Is the structure of the contribution sufficiently clear, coherent and concise? Is the article of an appropriate length in light of the content? Should any parts of the article be omitted or shortened?

Please insert your comments here:

Language, images and references:
Is the language of the suggested contribution of good quality? Are the image relevant and of good quality? Do you think some necessary visuals material like maps or diagrams are missing? Are the references correctly listed and sufficiently extensive?

Please insert your comments here: